



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/724,685

11/28/2000

Steven G. Reed

014058-008561US

7839

20350

7590

06/12/2009

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

SWARTZ, RODNEY P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1645

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/12/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/724,685	Applicant(s) REED ET AL.	
	Examiner Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1645	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 March 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 37-41 and 56-86 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 37, 56, 69 and 78 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 38-41, 57-68, 70-77 and 79-86 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 31 March 2009 has been entered.

Claims 37, 38, 39, 56, 57 and 58 have been amended. New claims 60-86 have been added.

2. Claims 37-41 and 56-86 are pending and under consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 39-41, 58-60, 62-64, 66-68, 72-77 and 81-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an isolated polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO:107 wherein said polypeptide is immunogenic, binds to antibodies, and stimulates T-cells, does not reasonably provide enablement for pharmaceutical compositions comprising said polypeptide. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to

Art Unit: 1645

which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The instant claims are drawn to a "pharmaceutical" composition.

M.P.E.P. §2164.01(c), paragraph 3, recites:

When a compound or composition claim is limited by a particular use, enablement of that claim should be evaluated based on that limitation. See *in re Vaeck*, 947 F.2d 488, 495, 20 USPQ2d 1438, 1444 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

Steadman's Medical Dictionary (26th Edition, 1995) defines "pharmaceutical" as "relating to pharmacy or to pharmaceuticals"; "pharmacy" as "the practice of preparing and dispensing drugs", and "drug" as "Therapeutic agent; any substance, other than food, used in the prevention, diagnosis, alleviation, treatment, or cure of disease"

While the definition of "pharmaceutical" is broad, it is not so broad to cover any use of a substance on or in the body of a subject, only those uses intended to prevent, diagnose, alleviate, treat, or cure a disease within the animal to which the substance was administered.

In the instant application, the instant specification does not teach how to use the composition, without undue experimentation, for the prevention, diagnosis, alleviation, treatment, or cure of a disease in an animal to which the substance is administered.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 1645

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 38, 57, 61-68, 70, 71, 73, 76, 77, 79, 80, 82, 85 and 86 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4 and 10-12 of U.S. Patent No. 7,186,412. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are drawn to compositions comprising a fusion protein comprising a first polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:107 or a fragment of SEQ ID NO:107 ≥ 9 amino acids, and a second polypeptide, which may be an *M. tuberculosis* antigen, and further comprising an adjuvant. The instant SEQ ID NO:107 is 100% identical to SEQ ID NO:8 of U.S. Pat. No. 7,186,412.

7. Claims 38, 57, 61-68, 70, 71, 73, 76, 77, 79, 80, 82, 85 and 86 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,350,456. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are drawn to compositions comprising a fusion protein comprising a first polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:107 or a fragment of SEQ ID NO:107 ≥ 9 amino acids, and a second polypeptide, which may be an *M. tuberculosis* antigen, and further comprising an adjuvant. The instant SEQ ID NO:107 is 100% identical to SEQ ID NO:107 of U.S. Pat. No. 6,350,456

8. Claims 38, 57, 61-68, 70, 71, 73, 76, 77, 79, 80, 82, 85 and 86 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7-

Art Unit: 1645

9, 16, 21-23 of U.S. Patent No. 7,311,922. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are drawn to compositions comprising a fusion protein comprising a first polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:107 or a fragment of SEQ ID NO:107 ≥ 9 amino acids, and a second polypeptide, which may be an *M. tuberculosis* antigen, and further comprising an adjuvant. The instant SEQ ID NO:107 is 100% identical to SEQ ID NO:26 of U.S. Pat. No. 7,311,922.

Conclusion

9. Claims 38-41, 57-68, 70-77 and 79-86 are rejected. Claims 37, 56, 69 and 78 are allowed.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D., Art Unit 1645, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday from 9:00 AM to 7:30 PM EST. Thursday is the examiner's work at home day.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact the Examiner's Supervisor, Robert B. Mondesi (571)272-0956.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1645

system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D./

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645

June 12, 2009